

Two loop results from the derivative expansion of the blocked action

Alfio Bonanno^(1,3) and Dario Zappalà^(2,3)
⁽¹⁾*Istituto di Astronomia, Università di Catania*
Viale Andrea Doria 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

⁽²⁾*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Catania,* ⁽³⁾*INFN, sezione di Catania*
Corso Italia 57, 95129 Catania, Italy
 (February 1, 2008)

A derivative expansion of the Wegner-Houghton equation is derived for a scalar theory. The corresponding full non-perturbative renormalization group equations for the potential and the wave-function renormalization function are presented. We also show that the two loop perturbative anomalous dimension for the $O(N)$ theory can be obtained by means of a polynomial truncation in the field dependence in our equations.

pacs 11.10.Hi , 11.10.Gh

PREPRINT INFNCT/6-97

In field theory the description of physical observables at energy scales that can be several orders of magnitude below the cut-off scale, is one of the most relevant issues. This problem is studied by means of the renormalization group (RG) and, in particular, the Kadanoff-Wilson formulation of the RG [1], based on the blocking procedure, is probably the most preferable starting point for any application. The Wegner-Houghton equation [2] is a specific realization of the blocking procedure that relates the action defined at the scale k to the action at the scale $k - \delta k$ through a functional integration over the infinitesimal momentum shell δk , for $\delta k \rightarrow 0$. This differential equation is exact, as shown in [2] since it contains the whole effect due to the integration of the fast modes. However it has no known analytical solution and one has to resort to approximations in order to deal with a more tractable problem.

The most promising approach consists in a derivative expansion where the action is expressed as a sum of terms containing an increasing number of field derivatives. The lowest order corresponds to a momentum independent projection of the Wegner-Houghton equation which is then reduced to a renormalization group equation for the local potential [3]. To the next order, $O(\partial^2)$ terms are included and one gets two coupled differential equations for the potential V and the wave-function renormalization function Z . In [4] and, more recently, in [5], these equations are studied numerically to investigate fixed points and determine critical exponents. Some applications of the $O(\partial^2)$ truncation are considered in [6–9].

Here we focus on the connection between perturbative calculations and the various approximations of the Wegner-Houghton equation. The exactness of the full equation implies that one should be able to recover the perturbative results order by order in the coupling constant at least in some approximation scheme. For instance if one considers a polynomial expansion in the field ϕ for the potential V of a scalar theory and reduces the equation for V to a set of differential equations for the various polynomial coefficients ($m^2, \lambda, \dots$), it is very easy to check that the equation for the quartic coupling λ yields the perturbative one loop β -function,

provided that one neglects all couplings corresponding to non-renormalizable powers of ϕ ($\phi^6, \phi^8, \dots$) and takes the ultraviolet (UV) limit where the mass is negligible compared to the running scale [10]. However, going beyond one loop is no longer straightforward.

In this paper we specifically consider the anomalous dimension η for a $O(N)$ symmetric scalar theory, which gets the first non-vanishing contribution at two loop, and show how the $O(\lambda^2)$ term is obtainable from the Wegner-Houghton equation. In fact the two loop anomalous dimension and β -function have been derived in [11–13], by making use of the average action formalism; however this result is not entirely satisfactory since the authors, by employing that formalism, are forced to introduce a smooth cut-off, namely an exponentially decreasing function, in order to integrate out the UV modes. Instead, in the following it is shown that the very simple and intuitive scheme which yields the one loop β -function can be extended to deduce η at two loop level, just solving the differential equation obtained for a particular truncation of the polynomial expansion of Z , not resorting to any smooth cut-off.

Moreover, starting from the two loop anomalous dimension, we compare expansions of the action having different nature and leading to different equations for Z . We show that the plain derivative expansion introduced in [14,15] has the correct UV behavior, whereas the one suggested by Aitchison and Fraser [16] is misleading in the UV region. As a consequence we can discriminate between the two approaches and choose the first one in order to consider any further application.

Let us consider the Euclidean N -component scalar theory ($a, b = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\phi^2 = \phi_i \phi_i$) in four dimensions, and, according to our choice of considering a derivative expansion of the action, truncated at $O(\partial^2)$, the action at the scale Λ reads

$$S_\Lambda[\phi] = \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} Z^{ab} \partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_b + V \right) \quad (1)$$

where the potential V and the wave-function renormalization function Z_{ab} are polynomial in ϕ_i . In the following the $O(N)$ symmetry of the theory is not required,

except when explicitly indicated. The blocked action $S_k[\Phi]$, which is the action at the scale $k < \Lambda$ and which is a functional of the field $\Phi(x)$ that, by construction has Fourier components only up to the scale k , is obtained by functional integration from $S_\Lambda[\phi]$. Up to terms that are not relevant for the Wegner-Houghton equation, the functional integration yields

$$S_k[\Phi] = S_\Lambda[\Phi] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}' \ln (K_{ij} - \delta_{ij} K_0) \quad (2)$$

where $K_{ij} = (\delta^2 S_\Lambda / \delta \phi_i \delta \phi_j)|_{\phi=\Phi}$ and Tr' is the trace of the internal degrees of freedom and of the modes within the shell $k - \Lambda$, and finally $\delta_{ij} K_0$ is the free propagator with mass m_0 .

The Wegner-Houghton equation is the differential equation for $S_k[\Phi]$ obtained by differentiating Eq.(2) with respect to k , with the boundary condition fixed by $S_\Lambda[\phi]$. By double-differentiating Eq.(1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij}(x, y) = & \left[\frac{1}{2} Z_{ab,ij}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi^a(x)}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial \Phi^b(x)}{\partial x^\mu} + V_{,ij}(x) \right] \delta^4(x - y) \\ & + \int d^4 x' \left[\delta^4(x - x') Z_{(bj),i}(x') \frac{\partial \Phi^b(x')}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\mu} \delta^4(x' - y) \right. \\ & + \delta^4(x' - y) Z_{(ib),j}(x') \frac{\partial \Phi^b(x')}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\mu} \delta^4(x - x') \\ & \left. + Z_{(ij)}(x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\mu} \delta^4(x - x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_\mu} \delta^4(x' - y) \right] \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where the notations $A_{(ij)} = (A_{ij} + A_{ji})/2$ and $A_{ij,k} = \partial A_{ij} / \partial \Phi_k$ have been used. A comment is in order: in deriving Eq.(3) no integration by parts has been performed and thus no surface term in the action has been neglected; indeed these terms, which are irrelevant in the equation of motion, supply a higher order (in the weak coupling perturbative expansion) contribution to Eq.(2).

By employing the conventions $\delta^4(x - y) = \langle x|y \rangle$, $(\partial / \partial x_\mu) \delta^4(x - y) = -i \langle x| \hat{p}^\mu |y \rangle = -(\partial / \partial y_\mu) \delta^4(x - y)$ and the commutation rules $[\hat{p}^\mu, f(x)] = i \partial^\mu f(x)$, $[\hat{p}^2, f(x)] = 2i \partial^\mu f(x) \hat{p}_\mu - \partial^2 f(x)$, $K_{ij}(x, y)$ can be cast in the operatorial form $\langle x|K_{ij}|y \rangle$ with

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} = & Z_{(ij)} \hat{p}^2 + V_{,ij} + i X_{i,j}^b \partial^\mu \Phi_b \hat{p}_\mu \\ & + \frac{1}{2} Z_{ab,ij} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial^\mu \Phi^b - \partial_\mu (Z_{(ib),j} \partial^\mu \Phi^b) \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where we have ordered all coordinate operators to the left of the momentum operators and

$$X_{i,j}^b = Z_{(i)^b,j} - Z_{(j)^b,i} + Z_{(ij)}^{,b}. \quad (5)$$

Next step concerns the evaluation of the trace in Eq.(2). An expansion of the trace in derivatives of the fields is required in order to determine an evolution equation for V and Z_{ab} , and such a suitable expansion has been

already derived in [14,15]. It is obtained by defining $K_{ij}(u) = K_{ij} + u \delta_{ij}$ and $K_0(u) = K_0 + u$, where u is a fictitious “mass”, then deriving with respect to u and finally expanding the derivative of the logarithm. By introducing

$$\Gamma(u) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}' \ln (K_{ij}(u) - \delta_{ij} K_0(u)), \quad (6)$$

the required term in Eq.(2), $\Gamma(0)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(0) = & - \int_0^\infty du \frac{d}{du} \Gamma(u) \\ = & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty du \text{Tr}' (K_{ij}^{-1}(u) - \delta_{ij} K_0^{-1}(u)) \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

Note that since the trace is performed on a finite momentum shell no IR or UV divergence affects Eq. (7). Then we split $K_{ij}(u) = A_{ij} + B_{ij} + C_{ij}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} = & Z_{(ij)} p^2 + V_{,ij} \quad B_{ij} = Z_{(ij)} (\hat{p}^2 - p^2) \\ C_{ij} = & i X_{i,j}^b \partial^\mu \Phi_b \hat{p}_\mu \\ D_{ij} = & \frac{1}{2} Z_{ab,ij} \partial_\mu \Phi^a \partial^\mu \Phi^b - \partial_\mu (Z_{(ib),j} \partial^\mu \Phi^b) \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where the eigenvalue p_μ of the momentum ($\hat{p}_\mu |p\rangle = p_\mu |p\rangle$) has been introduced. Finally $K_{ij}^{-1}(u)$ in Eq. (7) can be expanded according to

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1}(u) = & A^{-1} - A^{-1}(B + C + D)A^{-1} \\ & + A^{-1}(B + C + D)A^{-1}(B + C + D)A^{-1} - \dots \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

For a detailed analysis of the validity of this operatorial expansion we address to the Report of R. Ball [17].

In order to deduce the evolution equations for V and Z_{ab} , it is sufficient to retain respectively all terms with no or two derivatives. Actually C and D contain respectively one and two field derivatives; then in the trace in Eq. (7) it is convenient to order all operators with all B 's on the right side since, by definition, $B|p\rangle = 0$. Therefore B appears in the trace only inside commutators and, due to the commutation rules, each commutator containing B provides one or two derivatives. It follows that the truncation displayed in Eq. (9) is sufficient for our purpose. As shown in [15,16] the trace is then reduced to an integration over the spatial coordinates as well as over the momentum modes in the $k - \Lambda$ shell. At this level it is allowed to integrate by parts and neglect the surface terms since derivatives of δ are no longer present; making use of $(\alpha = 1/(16\pi^2))$

$$\int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} p_\mu p^\nu g(p^2) = \alpha \int dp^2 \frac{p^4}{4} g(p^2) \delta_\mu^\nu, \quad (10)$$

we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} S_k = & S_\Lambda + \frac{\alpha}{2} \text{tr} \int' dp^2 p^2 \int d^4 x \left\{ \left[\ln(Z_{(ij)} p^2 + V_{,ij}) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \ln(p^2 + m_0^2) \delta_{ij} \right] - \int_0^\infty du T_{ij}^{ab} \partial^\mu \Phi_a \partial_\mu \Phi_b \right\} \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where the trace only concerns the matrix indices i and j , $\int'$ indicates that the integral is restricted to the shell $k^2 - \Lambda^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} T^{ab} = & -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}Q^{ab}A^{-1} - A^{-1}M^aA^{-1}ZA^{-1}M^bA^{-1} \\ & + A^{-1}M^aA^{-1}R^bA^{-1} + A^{-1}(R^a + S^a - X^a)A^{-1}M^bA^{-1} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}S^aA^{-1}X^bA^{-1}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}X^aA^{-1}ZA^{-1}M^bA^{-1}p^2 \\ & - A^{-1}S^aA^{-1}ZA^{-1}M^bA^{-1}p^2 - \frac{1}{4}A^{-1}X^aA^{-1}X^bA^{-1}p^2 \\ & + A^{-1}M^aA^{-1}ZA^{-1}ZA^{-1}M^bA^{-1}p^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}M^aA^{-1}ZA^{-1}X^bA^{-1}p^2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

with $M_{kl}^a = (Z_{(kl)}^a)^a p^2 + V_{,kl}^a$ and $Q^{ab}_{kl} = Z^{ab}_{,kl}$ and $R_k^a{}_{l} = Z_{(k}^a{}_{),l}$ and $S_{kl}^a = Z_{kl}^a$. It is understood that in the r.h.s. of Eq. (12), the omitted lower indices are contracted, according to the given sequence, in such a way that, with a and b fixed, each term of the sum, as well as T^{ab} , is a matrix with lower indices i and j .

The equation for the potential is then recovered from the piece not containing field derivatives whereas the $\partial_\mu \Phi_a \partial^\mu \Phi_b$ coefficient gives the equation for Z_{ab} .

For a general N -component theory it is not possible to commute the matrices in Eq. (11) and this makes the integration of the variable u , which appears only in A^{-1} , a hard task. Beside a direct numerical approach there are special cases where Eq. (11) can be drastically simplified. For instance in ref. [15] it is shown how to perform the integral through a change of variables if $Z_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ in the r.h.s. of Eq. (11); however this is equivalent to neglect all Z_{ab} contributions to the scale evolution of Z_{ab} which would be governed by the potential only.

In the following we consider two special cases in which Eq. (11) can be easily treated. The first one consists in checking that for the $O(N)$ symmetric theory, the perturbative two loop anomalous dimension is recovered from Eq. (11). To this aim it is sufficient to truncate the general expansion of Z_{ab} in powers of Φ up to $O(\Phi_i \Phi_j)$

$$Z_{ab} = z_0 \delta_{ab} + z_1 \Phi^2 \delta_{ab} + z_2 \Phi_a \Phi_b \quad (13)$$

and the potential to order $O(\Phi^4)$: $V = m^2 \Phi^2/2 + \lambda \Phi^4/4!$. In order to calculate the anomalous dimension, the function $z_0(k)$ must be determined. Therefore one has to retain in Eq. (11) the numerical coefficient of $\delta_{ab} \partial^\mu \Phi^a \partial_\mu \Phi^b$ only, which corresponds to set $\Phi = 0$ in the coefficient of $\delta_{ab} \partial^\mu \Phi^a \partial_\mu \Phi^b$. In this case the u -integration is easily performed. According to Eqs. (11), (13) and by assuming, as boundary conditions of our problem, $Z_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ at the scale Λ , we get

$$z_0 = 1 + \alpha \int' dp^2 p^2 \frac{N z_1 + z_2}{z_0 p^2 + m^2} \quad (14)$$

In order to solve Eq. (14) the corresponding equations for z_1, z_2 are needed. However as it will be clear at the

end, instead of considering the full equations for these two couplings, it is sufficient to retain the contributions proportional to the quartic coupling squared λ^2 . This is achieved by taking $Z_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$ in the r.h.s. of Eq. (11) and collecting terms proportional to $\delta_{ab} \Phi^2 \partial^\mu \Phi^a \partial_\mu \Phi^b$ and $\Phi_a \Phi_b \partial^\mu \Phi^a \partial_\mu \Phi^b$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \frac{2\alpha\lambda^2}{9} \int' dp^2 p^2 \left[\frac{1}{3(p^2 + m^2)^3} - \frac{p^2}{4(p^2 + m^2)^4} \right] \\ z_2 &= \frac{(N+6)\alpha\lambda^2}{9} \\ &\times \int' dp^2 p^2 \left[\frac{1}{3(p^2 + m^2)^3} - \frac{p^2}{4(p^2 + m^2)^4} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Eqs. (14), (15) can be solved perturbatively order by order. We start with all couplings fixed by the boundary conditions at Λ , i.e. $z_0 = 1$, $z_1 = z_2 = 0$, put these values in the r.h.s. of the equations and solve them, obtaining the first order solution for z_0, z_1, z_2 . Then the first order couplings can be put in the r.h.s. of the equations to get the second order solution and so on. By this procedure we get to the first order, in the UV region ($k^2 \gg m^2$): $z_0 = 1$, $z_1 = (\alpha\lambda^2)/(54 k^2)$, $z_2 = (N+6)(\alpha\lambda^2)/(108 k^2)$. Effects $O(k^2/\Lambda^2)$ are neglected. To the second order for z_0 we get $z_0 = 1 - (\alpha^2\lambda^2(N+2)/18)\ln(k/\Lambda)$ and the corresponding anomalous dimension ($t = \ln(k/\Lambda)$)

$$\eta = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ln z_0 = \frac{\alpha^2\lambda^2(N+2)}{18} + O(\lambda^3) \quad (16)$$

is in agreement with the standard result [18]. It is easy to realize now that, since the neglected terms in the differential equations for z_1 and z_2 are proportional to non-renormalizable couplings, which are zero to the lowest order, they can only provide $O(\lambda^3)$ contributions to z_0 and to the anomalous dimension.

This result not only shows that the particular truncation adopted here still retains the features of the two loop perturbative calculations but mainly shows that the presence of the sharp cut-off k in Eq. (11) does not affect quantities such as the anomalous dimension which is related to a two loop diagram characterised by overlapping divergences. It follows that all difficulties raised in [11] due to the use of a sharp cut-off and the necessity of introducing a smooth cut-off in the framework of the average action, disappear when the sharp cut-off is embedded in the formalism developed above.

As a second example we consider Eq. (11) for the $N = 1$ theory. In this case there are no more matrices and the u -integration is trivial. Thus the Wegner-Houghton equation in this case reads (here $A = Zk^2 + V''$ and the prime indicates derivative with respect to Φ)

$$k \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial k} = -\alpha k^4 \ln \left(\frac{A}{k^2 + m_0^2} \right) \quad (17)$$

$$k \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial k} = -2\alpha k^4 \left(\frac{Z''}{2A} - \frac{Z'A'}{A^2} - \frac{Z'^2 k^2}{8A^2} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{Z A'^2}{3A^3} + \frac{Z Z' A' k^2}{3A^3} - \frac{Z^2 A'^2 k^2}{4A^4} \Big) \quad (18)$$

These two non-perturbative coupled equations are suitable for a numerical investigation of the fixed point structure of the theory as explained in [4,5].

We shall now discuss the difference between Eqs.(17), (18), and the analogous equations which are derived by resorting to the logarithm expansion proposed in [16]. This is an expansion of different kind, as stressed by [17], based on the presence of “small” fluctuations of the field $\tilde{\Phi}(x)$ around a constant background Φ_0 ; this allows to expand the logarithm in Eq. (2) up to some chosen power of the fluctuation field [16,17]. According to this expansion the differential equations for V and Z have been derived in [9]. Although near the Gaussian fixed point the linearised form of Eq.(18), and of Eq.(7) of ref. [9] are the same, some differences appear at the quadratic level. To illustrate this point in a specific case it is sufficient to examine the equations for Z when only the effects arising from the potential are taken into account. In this case one gets ([6,9])

$$k \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial k} = -\alpha k^4 (V''')^2 \frac{V''}{(k^2 + V'')^4} \quad (19)$$

while from Eq. (18) one instead finds

$$k \frac{\partial Z_k}{\partial k} = -\frac{\alpha k^4 (V''')^2}{6} \frac{k^2 + 4V''}{(k^2 + V'')^4} \quad (20)$$

One notes that the UV behavior is different in the two cases. It is remarkable that this difference is responsible for the non-zero anomalous dimension obtained above. Conversely the expansion due to Aitchison and Fraser leads to a zero anomalous dimension to $O(\lambda^2)$ and the inclusion of higher order terms in the derivative expansion, such as $O(\partial^4)$ or $O(\partial^6)$, does not change this result. One can also check that the r.h.s. of the two equations behave very differently near the region of the mass gap, thus predicting different scaling behavior even at the crossover. Only when one integrates the modes, in the independent mode approximation, from Λ to $k \ll m$, Eqs. (19), (20) differ by $O(k^4/m^4)$ and as already noted in [6,15,17,19], by integrating up to $k = 0$, one gets in both cases

$$Z_{k=0} = 1 + \frac{(V''')^2}{192\pi^2 V''} \quad (21)$$

On the basis of our result in Eq.(16), we argue that the derivative expansion illustrated above is more suitable for deriving a quasi-local approximation of the Wegner-Houghton including $O(\partial^{2n})$ terms in the blocked action.

In conclusion we have derived two non-perturbative coupled differential equations for the potential V and the wave-function renormalization function Z , by making a derivative expansion of the blocked action and employing a sharp cut-off. These equations when compared to the usual perturbative result show a good UV behavior providing the correct two loop anomalous dimension for the $O(N)$ symmetric scalar theory.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors have benefited from discussions with Sen-Ben Liao, Janos Polonyi and Martin Reuter.

-
- [1] L. P. Kadanoff, *Physica* **2**,263 (1966); K. Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **B4** 3184 (1971); K. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* **12**, 75 (1974).
 - [2] F. J. Wegner and A. Houghton, *Phys. Rev.* **A8**, 401 (1972).
 - [3] A. Hasenfratz and P. Hasenfratz, *Nucl Phys.* **B270**, 687 (1986).
 - [4] T. R. Morris, *Phys. Lett.* **B329**, 241 (1994).
 - [5] T. R. Morris, "Properties of derivative expansion approximations to the renormalization group", in *RG96*, e-Print Archive: hep-th/9610012; "New developments in the continuous renormalization group", e-Print Archive: hep-th/9709100; T. R. Morris and M. D. Turner, Preprint SHEP 97-06, April 1997, e-Print Archive: hep-th/9704202.
 - [6] S.-B. Liao and J. Polonyi, *Phys.Rev.* **D51**, 4474, (1995).
 - [7] K. Sailer and W. Greiner, Preprint KLTE-DTP-1996-2, Oct1996, e-Print Archive: hep-th/9610143.
 - [8] A. Bonanno and D. Zappalà, *Phys. Rev.* **D55**, 6135 (1997).
 - [9] A. Bonanno and D. Zappalà, *Phys. Rev.* **D56**, 3759 (1997).
 - [10] S.-B.Liao and J.Polonyi, *Ann.Phys.* **222**,122, (1993).
 - [11] C. Wetterich, *Z. Phys.* **C 57**, 451 (1993).
 - [12] N. Tetradis and C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys.* **B422**[FS], 541 (1994).
 - [13] T. Papenbrock and C. Wetterich, *Z. Phys.* **C 65**, 519 (1995).
 - [14] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, *Yad. Fiz.* **39**, 124 (1984)[*Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **39**, 77 (1984)].
 - [15] J. A. Zuk, *J. Phys.* **A18**, 1795 (1985); *Phys. Rev.* **D32**, 2653 (1985).
 - [16] I. Aitchison and C. Fraser, *Phys. Lett.* **B146**, 63 (1984); *Phys. Rev.* **D31**, 2605 (1985); C. M. Fraser, *Z. Phys.* **C 28**, 101 (1985).
 - [17] R. D. Ball, *Phys. Rep.* **182**, 1 (1989).
 - [18] E. Brezin, J.C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, in : *Phase Transition and Critical Phenomena*, vol. 6, eds. C. Domb and M. S. Green (Academic press, New York 1976).
 - [19] S.-B. Liao, *Phys Rev.* **D53**, 2020 (1996).